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Hans Scheck

The nation-states of the world open up from above and from below, write editors Landy and Chaudhuri in their introduction. The interaction of globalization and localization – i.e. the progressive extension to the entire planet of exchanges of all kinds – (p.7) and increasing political power at the local level – change the national role in economic development. The editors question how these interacting forces affect development processes at local, regional, national and global levels.

The volume contains nine case studies, each focusing on problems and developments in a specific economic sector. Beginning with the overall opening of India’s economy in the 1990s, the reader moves to India’s health systems (western and indigenous), electricity supply, the leather industry in Tamil Nadu, diesel engines in Maharashtra, a hydro-electric plant in Madhya Pradesh, oil-seeds, dairy in Gujarat and Haryana, and finally, to food security and fertilizers. Each case study makes for interesting reading. Lachauer’s contribution on the successful adaptation of ex-wealthy diesel pump builders to the sophisticated demands of the multi-national car industry and Kennedy’s study of the strategies of leather industrialists to cope with national anti-pollution measures convincingly demonstrate the interplay between forces operating at several levels influencing the fortunates of local actors. Heuze’s intriguing case study deals with a large development project in the middle of nowhere, ongoing for over four decades, which I will discuss here in detail.

Heuze presents the complexity of a large-scale development project in which all possible levels from local to global are present: a public sector hydro-plant on the borders of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh. The author describes the project’s impact from 1960 onwards. 80,000 local peasants were the first victims of the project; they were displaced and provided with scant agricultural land and few project-related jobs. Private companies moved into the area as it developed into a new industrial region. New forms of administration – Special Area Development Authorities – replaced existing village and district councils. Economic, social and administrative changes led to the emergence of new actors on all levels, often with conflicting interests. Tension and in-fighting among the new elites led to a re-valuation of current hypes.

Old wine in new bottles?
The book, however, is puzzling for several reasons. Many of the issues discussed in the case studies sound familiar when looking at earlier Indian history and need not be specified attributable to globalization. Some cases, such as the hydro-plant, deal with foreign involvement much earlier than the 1990s. Though India’s economy was more protected in the pre-globalization past than it is now, there are many examples of past foreign economic involvement (e.g. steel plants with Russian, German, US and British participation in the 1960s, Maruti, Green Revolution rice varieties, World Bank loans for urban improvement, cement and Operation Flood), apart from more ideological foreign involvement (e.g. family planning in the 1960s and early 1970s). Even the phenomenon of localization, here dated from the 1992-3 constitutional amendments (p.16), began much earlier. Rural self-governance in a hierarchy of village and regional councils (panchayats) was attempted in the 1960s, and failed for a variety of reasons. What is then the surplus value of the globalization-locality framework? Do we need the interacting concepts of globalization and localization to understand the issues, problems and solutions so clearly analyzed in the case studies? Landy and Chaudhuri turn this argument around by casually remarking that globalization is much older than the concept, although new means of communication have led to growing complexity (p.13). Is their concept of globalization then window-dressing, a display of old wine in new bottles?

Globalization is defined in a neutral way: the progressive extension to the entire planet of exchanges of all kinds is supposed to operate in all directions, in this case to and from India. In the case studies, however, most of the exchanges come to India (barring a few exceptions, such as the export of India’s traditional medical system, and of footwear). Many of the global actors remain by and large the familiar ones from the pre-globalization era such as the World Bank, foreign NGOs and multinationals. Foreign involvement in India’s economic development is stronger than it was a few decades ago. Private capital investments are now easier to make (e.g. the Japanese automobile industry) and out-sourcing by Western companies has become fashionable (the IT sector), but the pattern has not really changed: the exchanges come mainly to India, for a simple reason – to make profits. Few profitable exchanges from India to the world exist. Globalization can hardly be called a neutral process.

One wonders, therefore, whether development guided by globalization is substantially different from the worldwide development paradigm of the 1950s and 1960s: western-styled modernization. One may wonder as well whether the impact of globalization should not be described in terms of the 1970s – those of unequal economic and political power, such as centre versus periphery or dependency relations. The editors only casually mention the (former) exploitative and unequal character of world economic relations (p.13), and add that exclusivism is now replacing exploitation. Discussion on the inequalities of both the progressive extension of exchanges and its exploitative/exclusive impacts would have enriched the book. Are not exploitation and exclusion basic elements of international economic relations and of economic development within India?

The book gives insights into the often contradictory mechanisms of dependence and modernization that determine India’s development. The framework, into which the case studies are squeezed, would have benefited from a historical perspective that could perhaps even have led to a re-evaluation of current hype.
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